

AUTOMATED TRANSCRIPT of the April 30, 2024 SCCORR Stakeholder's meeting

I did test it on my staff yesterday, provides a summary of the meeting, and I got to admit, aside from confusing hunt virus and hunted, it did a pretty good job.
So, you know. All right. We still have lots of folks coming in. Oh, you got dropped in, are you, you're back on.

Ed Tolen

Yeah, I got dropped. I don't know if it's my Internet. They're doing that.

Stephanie Weber

Work here and Ignacio on Okay, librarian and every once in a while they being one of the phone lines, which is how we get our internet You can blame AI.
That's all right. That's okay.

Ed Tolen

Okay.

Stephanie Weber

will. Yeah, that's fine um Cool. Okay All right Looks like we've slowed down a little bit Elli I'll continue to monitor who's coming in Um, if you want to start us off because um, we have we have a fair bit of information to share with all of you Um, and hopefully Are welcome first of all to the second score stakeholder meeting Um, there's been a lot that's happened since we had stakeholder meeting number one Um, which is why we've scheduled this for 90 minutes Um, we hope you've had chance to look at some of the links that Elli sent when he announced the meeting and yeah we're going to be looking for your input on a fair number of things.
Elli, are you there? Can you take it away?

Elli Morris

Yes, okay. All right, thank you all so very much for joining, particularly because it's really beautiful outside. So really pleased to see a lot of you here.
I am going to share my screen. We have a little PowerPoint to get us through so that we can figure out what's going on and share it with you to look at.

Elli started screen sharing

I had some technology that I tested and it's not working so I apologize for that for just kind of being what it is but we're going to move forward.
So we have this is our agenda. We have our main objectives. We're going to update you on what's been going on and Stephanie said.
We've had a lot of things happening. We are going to start that nomination process for our advisory committee, very critical part of the score process.
And then we'll talk about the government documents and get some volunteers to move with that. We have 30 minutes set aside for the Q&A.
We also have a couple of points, moments in the program where you'll be able to give us your feedback.
So this is our basic agenda, the update, input, these things, next steps. that Q&A, as you'll notice here, is 30 minutes.
Okay, so here are the main things that have been going on. I'm going to briefly go over each of these, then some of them will go a little bit more in depth with.
So we have our basic website up. It is functioning. One of the important things on it is it does have a link to our survey, so people have been able to access that.
It has the former January stakeholder meeting notes on there. People can See that we're going to continue updating this as the stakeholder group figures out what they want with it and what is going to be important to share at what point during the different phases of scores process progress I guess.
Hopefully all of you have looked at the inventory lists that was link that went out with the stakeholder meeting email.
We're hoping to get your input to edit it to add to it. At least have looked over it and see if we're missing any major initiatives or collaborations happening in the region.

This also will definitely be on the website at some point because if you recall part of scores point purpose is that we are going to be able to share all the things that are happening so that the public can see oh here's what's going on I want to participate I want to learn about it I want volunteer, whatever it is they want to be interested in. We want this list on our website when it is more polished, but it will be ongoing.

The next thing is really important. We have our Citizen Survey. Technically, the today is the last day of it. We have 514 responses so far. This is on the far left. That's what the survey itself is. We have a QR code that we could share and up to the stakeholders if we want to extend that time frame.

And if so, we do have this little graphic. One of the groups that we are not addressing as haven't gotten as much response from is the younger group, so that graphic is geared towards them.

We had eight listening sessions the key points here are we went to five towns and we had 140 participants during the eight sessions. And over on the right, you can see a graphic of the various five user groups that we had at each session.

The highest group with attendance was some motorized recreation, but at 31%, it's really not high, we did end up with a fairly even distribution of people attending through those user groups.

And I'm going to give some more information later on all the data that we've been collecting. The next thing is for Stephanie.

Stephanie Weber

Thanks. Yeah, we, so when we initiated this proposal to CPW for the regional partnership initiative, one of the things we said was we would work to define our boundaries.

And Bobbi Milford is on this call. And so when, you know, as we started out, we were looking at the whole swap from San Juan County, Silverton to the north, La Plata, obviously, Archuleta, and then the southern portion of Hinsdale. Bobby, can I call on you to kind of give an update on what's happening over in your neck of the woods?

Bob Milford

Yes, you can. Yes, I'm Bob Milford. I'm with the regional partnership initiative. In fact, we're going to send it out today.

It is ready to go, and I don't want to look at that anymore after the next three weeks. Anyway, what we're doing now is finding to get our own recreation coalition, and so that we can come up with a sustainable outdoor plan for the what we call the greater for ghost area, which is basically Archuleta

And we've had a ton of support. And as these things are, after much of discussions, because through the Pagoza area of trust council that I've been present for six years and we've been around for like 27 years, if you sort of have a meeting about getting a recreation plan for our area, right?

so we just feel like it's a very local issues and we've had a lot of issues with our Jackson Mountain and different things.

there's been a ton of support for us to get our own regional partnership initiative. And so hopefully we will get approved.

We'll find out here in a couple of months, but that's our plan too. And also to work with the other ones because there's 18 partnership initiatives in Colorado and work closely with scores and the other ones to work together because we all have the same issues that we have here in Colorado with more folks coming in and how to deal with the recreation and still protected natural resources and the wildlife that we're having.

And so again, we've got lot of support. We've got actually 35 support letters and we've already got. 40 groups that are ready to join and sort these conversations, but we need some extra funding to hire folks to help us guide the students process because it's very complicated and it's a difficult process.

Stephanie Weber

Absolutely. And what I would just say to that is we're optimistic that you're going to be able to launch a separate regional partnership over there to everybody else on the call.

It's imperative that we continue to work together and I love the way Bobby framed it. It's kind of like CFLRP and the Forest Health Partnerships, right?

They all have very specific focus, folk eye focuses, but they all are working together on a larger vision. And in all honesty, and I think I saw Michelle Furion here too, who is coordinating Montilores, which is the regional partner.

leadership over in Montezuma and Dolores, you know, if we have three of them kind of working in the Greater San Juan National Forest Region, it's going to be imperative that we're all working together. We're all communicating really well. At some point, I can imagine the state will ask us to figure out how to play in the sandbox together instead of three separate sandboxes, but they also recognize that these are very specific local issues that, you know, kind of biting off smaller chunks, at least as we start, is preferable, and then figuring out what it looks like as we all kind of mature little bit. Any questions on that one? Since Bobby's here, we're both kind of muted. I don't see any hands raised, so we're just going to keep plowing ahead.

Bob Milford

And I'm going to add to that a quick step and as you were saying, you know, it to me was like I'm involved with this Southwest color. I see them for P. And there's three land-based pool, uh, uh, uh, clinicians and two, like the headwaters and the four rivers and the, uh, the war. So that's kind of how I see this thing is. It's kind of by the different areas and regions and all three different districts, even though most of them in the San Juan, they're very different in what and their needs and the, uh, and the terrain around them. So anyway, I just thought that that was like the way I see it as working with the different, uh, collaboratives and this recreation deal too. So I think it's a good fit and hopefully the folks there at CPI or PI agree.

Stephanie Weber

Yeah, totally. And, um, at this point, we have this, the stakeholder meeting scheduled quarterly, which would mean our next meeting would be at the end of July. We'll talk more about that. later, but we should know what the status of parks proposal is by that meeting, and we'll have a much clearer picture as to what it means to move forward as scores stakeholders. So, okay, Elli, back to you.

Elli Morris

Yes, just for a moment though, we're going to look at the GIS napping as it stands as you obviously just heard this might change, but for now until we get definite word back. On the grant, and we're going to be looking at the score as it borders exist.

Stephanie Weber

Right, so another part, and I'm glad several of our MSI friends are on the on the call today. One of the other big tasks under our grant that we got from CPW is to do some mapping of kind of what's in line with the inventory list of initiatives and collaborations also really begin to get an idea of what is happening, what do we have for GIS mapping of outdoor recreation of wildlife corridors of all of these kind of intersecting and overlapping issues. Our next step is to begin to take on that task and Melissa and Anthony I do have an email into Jake and Julie hopefully we'll get a chance to sit down and really start talking about what's happening there so that's going to be a another large task as part of this planning grant for CPW and that's in the queue imminently.

Elli Morris

All right as Stephanie said there's lots of different And as Bobby pointed out, it was a very complicated process to get all this going, so I'm really glad that we've got a lot of it beginning to happen. So this part will have some input from y'all. Our first thing that we want to look at is going to be the citizen survey, then we'll go over these next points and have time for you guys to put some input into each of these topics. So the first one, we have all of these five user groups that if you came to the listening session, you're aware that we use these throughout, we would like to have input on where everyone that is a stakeholder helped get the citizen survey out. So in order to do that, we have created a whiteboard, and I will share that with you. and this one, yep.

SCREEN SHARING Elli started screen sharing

All right, so we have the white board. Can everybody see that? Is it every, um, working on the share here?

Okay, good. thumbs up. So what we would like is to get rid of the test that we had here. That just have, um, some nothing. But yeah, put it just all you need to do is select the text that everybody's doing. Add it to your user group so that we can really get a better understanding of what happened and who put things where. We'll get in a couple minutes.

Stephanie Weber

I would just add to that. So Elli reported early on that right now, today is essentially the arbitrary day we chose to close the survey. We've had 514, 15 for over 500 responses. for comparison. person because everybody loves to compare themselves to Envision Chafee. Envision Chafee when they did this, and I honestly don't know what their time frame was. They had over 1200 responses. Elli also mentioned that we have missed out on getting data from our younger generation. Probably won't surprise too many folks here. We've worked that angle, to be honest, and again, it's just a challenge. so what we're trying to gauge here is, has there been a lot of, you know, promotion from our partners, including those of you who have chosen to hang out with us on this afternoon's call? Has there been a lot of promotion? Do we feel like we've kind of knocked on doors enough to close this, or is there merit in? Opening this, promoting it a little bit more to gather as much input as we can. So what Elli's looking for is if you have helped promote this via pack and dear friends, sending out an email, adding it to your organization's e-news or social media, let us know and this will help us gauge do we feel like we really gave this the best shot possible and, you know, we might as well just call it and close it or is there merit in letting this survey stay open. So again, what Elli's looking for is if you have helped promote, let us know how so we can, you know, of gauge what the impetus is or what the promotion has been. Does that make sense?

Elli Morris

But is anybody else able to see you that they typed anything onto the whiteboard?

durango trails

No, I can't see.

Stephanie Weber

This is Mary and it's not working for me.

Elli Morris

No, I see a lot of names on there, but nobody, nothing that you're writing is showing up. So you click on the text box and then click on it. Is that okay there? Yeah, so click on the T over on the far left and then click and you should be able to write what you were all attempting to write because I saw a lot of activity, but no results.

Stephanie Weber

I know it's a very busy group.

Elli Morris

Very appreciated. Hey, can you get it?

Anthony Culpepper, MSI/4Rivers

Sorry, Stephanie and Elli. was multitasking, what is th kind of interest with this activity?

Stephanie Weber

Like what is the impact I'm looking for? So Anthony, basically, do we feel like there's been enough of a push from the collective that we've essentially, we're going

going to get all the responses to the survey we're going to get or can we leave this open a couple couple more weeks, really come together and say, yeah, let's go after, you know, let's promote this, let's get as many responses as we can. Does that make sense?

Anthony Culpepper, MSI/4Rivers

That does.

Stephanie Weber

Yep. Thank you. Yep, you bet.

Elli Morris

And we want to have the reason that it's divided into these same user groups is to see if one group has not been represented well through the stakeholder efforts or through our efforts so that we can say, oh, well, this would merit keeping it open longer because we really want to make sure that every user group and all the people represented in the stakeholders have had opportunity to participate and put their say into the survey.

Stephanie Weber

Hello.

Bob Milford

This is Bob. Do you have the 513 responses? you have it by zip codes or like when you're

Stephanie Weber

Yeah, but and just so you all know, Elli, think has peaked at some of the responses coming in, but we really haven't assessed how much is happening where.

And so I don't know that all we really have is the age demographics that have jumped out at us, not necessarily the location or the geographic specifics.

Elli Morris

Yeah, that's right. So I've kept an eye on the age groups to see if we've been able to get a good representation of the different ones we have there.

And we have not. We have more of the believe it's like 45 up to over 65 group. We're not as much in the 25 and under for sure.

then the 25 to 35 is a little less represented, but one of the things that we're going to look at in this meeting.

is to see how to go forward with the survey data that's coming in, what y'all want to do with it, what your choices are on gathering it and making some sense out of all the data that is available.

It's with SurveyMonkey and so they do a really good job of being able to break down the categories that you're interested in so that we can eventually have that data analysis that's really available at our fingertips.

Stephanie Weber

If the whiteboard is posing a challenge, you're also invited to just say something in chat. I will take this opportunity to also say that we are testing out a new AI note-taking feature called Fathom and so somewhere, somehow, it will be captured.

Elli Morris

Yeah, and if you want to use the whiteboard, be sure to click on the T on the far left first, then click in your user group and then you ought to be able to type what it is you're looking to type.

We'll give you just a couple more minutes on that. And yes, Stephanie said you can put it in the chat.

Or if you want to, you can also email me at score at sjma.org if you want to do that later.

Nick Olson | NFF

I've got a quick question. Maybe this will come later in the presentation. Feel free to push me off. But here's if you got a good response from other land managing partners and or tribal nations, I think you've mentioned maybe some direct outreach to some of those folks and what that looks like outside of.

Stephanie Weber

Okay. Yeah. Not from the tribal nations. Not great. You know, the chart from Ignacio. In fact, one of the two listening sessions, we didn't get anybody. so we went home early that night. unfortunately. not for a lack of time.

Elli Morris

So, not for how we send it out. put it in different places all throughout the area. Each town, we put a lot of flyers up, then we put a lot of digital response out there. I did pull together some data on where people had heard about the listening sessions. I felt like that would be useful information for us going forward. Primarily where people heard about it was through something online. We had a few people that heard about it through flyers and those were primarily at locations that represented less youth and where they probably were more accustomed to the printed material than being able to find stuff online. Whoops.

Stephanie Weber

Anthony, go ahead.

Anthony Culpepper, MSI/4Rivers

You know, what outreach and what kind of responses have you all received from San Juan County?

Stephanie Weber

San Juan County did great. They thumped it. So we feel, and you know, Dan's not here, but they're all in. It was a very good kind of community event. And so from the surveys, Belly, again, geographic specificity, we haven't really looked at yet, but I know our friends up there have been promoting it pretty solidly. and each listening session, obviously, we handed out information for participants to take and share with others. So.

Elli Morris

Okay, well, we will let you go ahead and. Put that in the chat if you want to, or as I said, you can email us, but we're going to close the whiteboard. We will be able to save it so we can get the information from you guys that are on it's on that and I'm going to go back and share my screen again.

Elli started screen sharing

All right, and we'll let Stephanie give us some updates on the scope of future planning efforts.

Stephanie Weber

Okay. Yeah, I'm not sure I have much more to add than what I already have, Elli, actually. hi. um, yeah, TBD really until we hear back from CPW on what they're doing with Pagosa's application and what, you know, what is pretty clear right now. I guess I do have a few things to say. What is clear right now is it will involve Laplata. And San Juan County wants in on the regional planning that we're doing here as well. Obviously, with the Animas Headwaters project, there's, there's some overlap. There are a couple things that are working in concert. So I think that makes quite a bit of sense. And so we will continue to actively engage our San Juan County friends as well. So, and we're not going to, you know, boot out Archuleta and Hinsdale anytime soon, but we'll just, you know, keep hoping they get some funding too. So, and then of course keep talking with Michelle and her, her coalition over in Monalores. So.

Elli Morris

All right. this section is on the data analysis from everything that's been coming in. As you recall, as I've said before, we keep referring back to these five user groups that were at the. the tables in the listening sessions and what as preliminary process of pulling all this data together. I put everything into each of those groups. So these sections here represent, for example, the phishing and hunting. That's all the comments from the moderators' written notes that were at all eight of the listening sessions.

And I divided that into each of the user groups, so that represents everything from all of the sessions because this will give us an opportunity to understand what is it that the groups from nature and wildlife are concerned about throughout the region.

What are the working lands groups concerned about throughout the whole Southwest region of scores boundaries? the sessions, we ask them to do their best, to break it down into opportunities and challenges.

That was not always possible, which I understand. So we have these listed in opportunities, we haven't listed its challenges, and then they're also listed as uncategorized.

And that was the part of the notes where people just kind of took the notes of what was going on without being able to really say one or the other.

But all of those notes have been digitized now and into these five user groups from all of the sessions.

We're starting to get some data coming in. This particular one is I took all of those five user groups and I analyzed them to make different categories that seem to make the most sense and the themes that were coming through.

Then I did that as a combined data set of what everybody seemed to be caring about, they were really talking about.

I pulled out messaging as a separate category from education because those two were obviously as you You can see they were the ones that people cared about and gave the most input on.

The messaging is more things that we could share into outreach, whereas education was more about what we need to really get points across.

But this particular slide here represents information from everyone that was at all of the sessions. I put those into a couple of different ways of understanding the data because this is going to be information that the stakeholder group is going to help us with and take that forward so that I wanted it to be in the first phase is gathering and wrangling it down as you'll see it was a necessary thing because we did get a lot of data.

So I have these as the different groupings and have them in a couple of different visuals. so that we can really begin to assess where we want to go with this information.

Then I did the same thing per user group. So in the motorized recreation, I selected that one because we said earlier that was the one that was represented the most, that their main concern was access.

education and funding were the next two categories that really showed up the most. I took those so that you can see them in terms of percentages in the table, but then we also have this word cloud and these have, this data is now these different groups for each of the five user groups and Stephanie is going to talk about this one, but I want you to understand over here on the left in this tiny print, this is one group and it is one of the sections that is, this is just an opportunities and for one of the groups so that you can see how much data that we collected and

Then we're going to continue that process of putting all of these comments into workable themes and understandable categories.

Bob Milford

Yeah, what you got, Bob? Quick question, Ed. I was kind of worrying about all this. You know, we've got 500 responses, which is a pretty good number.

But it's like in polling is, does those 500 people represent like one area of folks too heavy than the other ones, where you're not getting like a good sample.

That's always worries about this kind of deal where, you know, you get all the motorized people like just going to organize and put the survey in.

And, as I found out through these clarifications, because somebody's speaking out loud and a lot doesn't mean they represent a lot of people.

So to me, it's like, that's really important to get this information. And that's why I always think that in a smaller area, you know, it's just easier to get that information and the more you go out, the harder it is.

Elli Morris

That's one of y'all's thoughts on that. Okay, I think that's a great comment. Let me first start off that there are two different things that we have going on.

The data that we have analyzed is from the listening sessions. The citizen survey, since it's up through today, we have not analyzed that yet.

So all this stuff that I'm showing right now is from the listening sessions. This is not from the survey.

But directly to your point, yes, one of the reasons I wanted this to be by user group is so that we can make sure that everybody's voice is heard equally, so that if there were fewer people in one group, they don't get outweighed by the other.

So when they were all combined, there's a little bit more possibility of that. But since the largest group was only 31%, it's not like they have such a domineering voice in it.

But we do have it by user group so that what you just said is about one group being overrepresented is not how we're going to look at this data, that we're looking at it by groups, and then we can combine it with percentages if we need to.

But our percentages are pretty evenly spaced. So we are doing our best to avoid that, Bob, because you're exactly right.

Oftentimes you get one group that's so much louder, but in this analysis, I believe we've headed that off of the past by having each group have their own equal voice represented.

Does that help?

Bob Milford

That's right.

Stephanie Weber

Okay, Well, and I would go further and just say, know, I mean, this planning grant that we have is really broken into several different categories, right?

We talked just a little bit about doing, you know, a compilation of GIS available, GIS mapping. That's... give us, you know, kind of the science is best we have it right now.

What we've embarked on, you know, since our first stakeholder meeting is really trying to assess how our region, the people who live and play in our region feel, right?

This is all very subjective. And when you do listening sessions like we did, I mean, what we did was say, what do you see are the challenges?

What do you the opportunities? And we just let them talk. We worked really, really hard not to influence what they were saying.

And, you know, it is impossible to read for a reason, but that, you know, slide on the far left of your screen is working lands.

And people had a lot to say. And so he, and this is where the work of the stakeholder group, which you all are representatives.

of a particular group, right, ideally, where we really begin the work is when we look at something like this, and to be honest, I was not surprised to see the three top themes emerging, education, messaging, and access.

I was not surprised to see that, but we know that that came forth organically from people who took the time to come to these in-person meetings and share their thoughts.

Same with the survey. The survey is going to be broader. It's going to be a little more constrained as to how people can respond, and again, the work of the stakeholder group will be to really dive into the responses that we're getting from people who live and visit here on what really matters to them.

And I think that is a very important place for us to begin. as we're interacting with our public land managers and things like that.

So, and what we have learned from the other access is more access or not losing access or things like that, Nick.

Let me make sure I am answering other questions, yeah, we're out in and I were getting them. Our partners at other regional partnerships have talked a lot about getting a low hanging fruit, something that's easily achievable.

so, Elli's done a really good job of sifting through a lot of comments to begin to identify what might be some things we can undertake as low hanging fruit.

For instance, and some of you may have recollection of this it precedes. my tenure but apparently there used to be a kind of a volunteer fair that various user groups would have annually.

Mary I don't know if you're still on the call if you ever participated but you know there'd be a convening at Santa Rita Park and we'd have it sounds like Durango trails might have involved their trails 2000 at the time the snowmobile clubs creeper jeepers other folks that could get together and talk about you know what they do to assist our land managers in caring for our recreational and and conservation areas and allow people to identify you know what volunteer opportunities are among these various user groups and I can't remember if it were the creeper jeepers they'd cook pancakes and

it just be a party in the park where the community could come together and learn about what the opportunities are to give back.

That's an example of something I think is a relatively low hanging fruit if the various stakeholders think that's something that would be fun to resurrect.

And so this is really as we begin to really digest the information coming out of the listening sessions and depending on what we all decide to do with the survey that will be what we're looking for as actionables as we head into the summer and into the fall.

Does that make sense? Any questions? Mary just responded. Sounds like the volunteer fair has a few years between now and then.

So sounds like it was a couple decades ago. People have been around here for a long time. on a lot of different things.

But anyway, that is an example of something that used to happen, and I'd love just to pass that in the ring, is that something that ultimately would be something we could bring back?

Seems like a pretty easy thing to do. I'm not looking for an answer right now, but as we go forward analyzing the information that's coming forth from people again who live and and visit here, what are some easy things to do?

So, any questions on low hanging fruit? Okay, Elli, I'm going to kick it back to you.

Elli Morris

Okay, I just I did respond directly to Nick's message, but I want you to understand that these are the broadest categories that we could come up

with, because as I have indicated here on this slide, we had a lot of data. So this is our first pass through of making usable, functional categories out of this data, and it will continue to be developed as the stakeholders guide us into that process, and as you all have say, into that process.

All right, so we would like to know if y'all have any questions or reactions about this particular part of the meeting, as I said before, we are going to leave it open for some more longer episode of Q&A, but for what the update is, what kind of questions do you have for that, or comments?

How you feeling about it? I just posed one question would be, what were some of the Expectations that people hoped would come out of the listening sessions.

And now that that is the first major Cross part of our project that has been completed. How do you feel about that particular one?

Patt Dorsey (she/her)

Go ahead, Patty Yeah, thank you. Um, you know, one of the things that happens with these and um Because there it is just getting started.

It's new. think we did a decent job reaching out to people with input Is there a good plan for going back and revisiting this because i'm sure You have people that are arrived late to the party right as their second bite at the apple for folks that weren't engaged the first time around That's a really great question I'll say a little bit and then Stephanie will be able to fill in some more that we originally had 10 sessions and we have only done eight So those next two we've kept open as a opportunity to do it

Elli Morris

exactly what you've just suggested. What did we learn? How did we reach out to people? Where did we find out that they heard about it?

Who wasn't as well represented so that probably we're thinking maybe in the fall, right, that we will be able to go back to take what we've done and pull that forward and address those a little bit more in depth in the fall so that we make sure we are getting a lot more representations.

Stephanie, what have you got to say?

Stephanie Weber

No, I would do a hearty thumbs up on that one, Elli. Yeah, we did decide that, hey, it's tough to really get this moving forward and so let's go ahead, get out gates and then see what kind of information is coming out, what everybody move forward and then go back out to the community to share.

Yeah, Mary. You're muted. I think you're muted. No, maybe not. Not on our end.

Elli Morris

Oh, we can't.

Stephanie Weber

You want to type your question.

Elli Morris

Okay. Does anybody have another comment? We'll give Mary moment to type. I know she is like me. type and then I have to go back and recorrect.

I'm almost felling. So we'll give her a minute. Anybody else have some comment that they would like to make or reaction to what we've gotten done so far?

Stephanie Weber

All right. She's back.

Elli Morris

Okay.

Stephanie Weber

Good. Can we hear you? No.

Elli Morris

In fact, to address what you would add. Ask, oh, there we go. Hi, will you be presenting the information in charts and graphs?

So it is more digestible, absolutely. So I showed a little bit that we started with, but one of the things that will be our next steps is to get the stakeholder input on where we're going to go with this data.

And that might be one of the first actions that the advisory committee can take on is what are the next steps within the data to be able to move that forward.

And then I am a big fan of the graphics. And so, yes, we are absolutely going to make it in some form where it's more digestible than there'll be a little bit more information.

And then finally, we'll have some written documentation on it so that people that want to delve into it more will be able to.

Does that answer your question?

Stephanie Weber

Yeah. again, the listening sessions are so subjective, right? You know, we're talking about that. 140 people who joined us for, you know, 90 minutes, they just got to spew, you know, capturing the full scope of that is, I think, an important foundation.

But the survey, I think, is going to be much more, scientifically analyzable. And we'll be able to get a little more solid on the themes being being presented there.

Many of you were asked to provide input on the questions being asked, so we feel like we had good input before it went live.

And I think, you know, that's why we're really wrestling with, do we keep it open longer to see if we can get more input?

And again, we're throwing that question out to all of you as stakeholders. You know, we feel like we've beat the bushes to the fact that it's available open if you feel

feel like we haven't and you're willing to help us, then we're willing to keep it open. So if that makes sense.

Yeah, Bob, you've got your hand raised.

Bob Milford

Yeah, I just want to add to that. I think those listening sessions are so important because, like you said, people get to come to speak to somebody about what's on their mind and issues and get it out of their system, which is, I think, really nice.

this whole program is really, really important. The other question I got is, in our area little bit, know, we have like different organizations for each type of activity, right, that they have, you know, the bike club, the ATV club, horses, they all, we kind of know each other through the PATC.

We don't always agree, but we all know each other plus all the restoration and concentration clubs and things like that.

Is it the same way in the different areas? And do we have like representations? Because the way we kind of do with the areas, like we have different leaders from each group and they go back to their group and spread the word or get the information back.

And coming back as you get information back as a whole, I just wanted to get awesome on that.

Stephanie Weber

Yeah, well, and let's be honest, right? This is score. The last R in this is round table. And once we define our geographic scope, the idea is that this round table is comprised of stakeholders, including all y'all, who represent all of the constituencies that are passionate about what's happening on our public lands, whether it's recreation, motorized and non-hunting and fishing, extractive efforts, et cetera.

expectation is this round table is established. And it is a place where the stakeholders come together, share what's happening, have a clear communication channel to the public land managers.

So there is a two-way dialogue going forward. And we continue to just have this as a platform to move forward.

It's not always going to be facilitated by Elli. You know, SJMA will always be involved, as I hope, you know, MSI is, and Durango Trails, and everybody else who is involved, you know, are San Juan's letters, creeper jeepers, and the backcountry horsemen, and you know, backcountry hunter and anglers, you know, the full scope National Turkey Federation of people who care about what is happening on our public lands as a place to come and sit down and share what's happening, and continue to work.

together to make sure that we're helping to protect and preserve what we all love about this region. Kind of pie in the sky, isn't it?

Elli Morris

Nick, we'll get to you in just a moment. Brian had put a comment in the chat. Will it be available on the webpage, all of the static?

Yes, it will. And to go back to the question about Mary's about will it be visual? That's one of the reasons my motivation, particularly at this point, to make it visual is so that when the public looks at it, they can get as much information in a digestible manner as possible.

So as the data is further and further analyzed, we'll be able to do that. But depending, again, what the stakeholder group wants, I think that we could go ahead and actually put up those word clouds and the very beginning tables that we have of just, here's our first round, because one of the things we want to do is be as transparent as possible and keep.

keep the public updated. since they gave us their time to come and give us their thoughts, that would be one of the early actions that we might be able to do for the website.

Okay, Nick, what is your question?

Nick Olson | NFF

I guess just a thought on whether or not to keep it open is, you know, if it's possible to go ahead and wrap up the data collection, you know, now with what you have, I imagine you want to move forward and start thinking about next steps.

But if there's not a huge cost associated to keep that open and look at it, you know, once a year, my mind goes to thinking like, you know, hunters and anglers going to CPW, the start of elk season, like folks are just rolling through the CPW offices at that time, getting information that might be a great chance to connect with that community with, you know, with the survey or the devotee just started their weekly races and horse gulch.

And I think there are various seasons where it might be easier to connect with different user groups and to have the sort of year.

cycle to connect with those might be valuable and then having a sort of second look at it come next year to see if that information is shifted at all as other user groups get a chance to put their two cents in.

Stephanie Weber

So Nick, are you saying keep it open kind of in perpetuity or maybe close it like you know close it have a part one but keep accepting like stop now take a look at what we have but allow people to continue to take it?

Nick Olson | NFF

Potentially if there's I mean if you think that there's an opportunity to revisit that information at a later point and if that information will be valuable and now I'm just I'm thinking through like the listening sessions I'm sure we

captured certain demographics but I imagine others might be looking to provide their input more at different times of year.

Stephanie Weber

Yeah totally fair. Okay. Yeah, that's an interesting thought. I'd love other's reactions.

Anthony Culpepper, MSI/4Rivers

Yeah, I'm in support of kind of that strategy, the next just proposed. Just thinking through even how we communicate with our, you know, our existing collaborative spaces and so. So, um, civil RP wise, at some point, you know, we will be doing sort of re survey of that body. And so something similar to that, where we, where we have, where we're essentially like checking to see how the values change over time and getting more input into this process.

Stephanie Weber

So definitely in support of that if possible. Okay. Yeah, the beauty of online surveys right. It's, it's easier.

Patt Dorsey (she/her)

Yeah, I'm going to offer just a slightly.

Stephanie Weber

turn of view is is there some value in setting a cutoff point at some point down the road whether it's December 31st of 2024 or something it just seems like those comments may have less and less value and we do have to move forward um and I don't know how much interest folks will have if it's open continuously either yeah well and I think what Nick's proposing is go ahead and kind of you start analyzing what we have now right so doing a a soft close if you will um but continue to gather information um and just kind of track how that changes with additional input I'm gonna read what Mary wrote in the chat it would be great to do what Nick is saying and meeting people where they are with a shorter survey

Elli Morris

As y'all were speaking, I was wondering we could, we can duplicate the survey that we have with, you know, two button bunches, so we could close this one that we have open right now, analyze it, but we would have that same survey that has been processed. We could take any feedback that people offered us and then potentially have that as the ongoing survey or make it shorter based on what y'all's input is.

Stephanie Weber

My feeling about changing the survey instrument. I struggle with that one a little bit more just because I think it wouldn't be example, it'd be like Granny Smith apples to honey crisp right I mean it'd be enough of a difference not completely different but I think. think it'd be harder to, you know, just kind of look at the information coming in consistently, you know. Mary, I'm curious, have you gotten feedback that the survey was too long? Yep, Yeah, you know, I do, Stephanie, that training survey is, to me, is a big deal because then you don't measure the same things.

Bob Milford

I do like the idea of getting in, letting people, you know, always give their information comes in, it might change, but I do agree that if you change that survey, then you can't compare it to other surveys. And I don't think it was that long, it was my personal opinion, because you're trying to get information and somebody's got to sit down for five minutes, you know, and if they can't sit down for five minutes, then, you know, we don't. I just, just popping off, you know, you've got to give us a thought. I think the survey is fine, and I'm not, that's it for making big changes for sure, thanks.

Elli Morris

Okay, I'll read what Mary wrote, you can have a second survey with 10 bullet questions and analyze both. I'm thinking that if you are going to talk to hunters or divo parents, you want a, you would want a shorter survey.

Stephanie Weber

Yeah, I, all right, so here's, here's what I'm hearing from the group. I'm hearing that there's, there's merit in closing what we have now, taking a look at the information gathered, and potentially read. revisiting if we need to, you know, just keep gathering data, modify the instrument. But, you know, I'm hearing, let me know if I'm hearing incorrectly, speak now. But I'm hearing that it's okay to close it now, start looking at what we've got, and then reassess, you know, going forward. I see Mary nodding.

Melissa May

That seems like it would be a good compromise approach in my mind, especially because we talk about this in all these different stakeholder groups that you end up having sort of the same 20 people that are like showing up to all these meetings. so sometimes there is value in, like, saying, okay, here's the input we got from this part of the process and then figuring out if a different process is needed to, like, dive in deeper with stakeholder groups that you're missing. And so I think those, examples of DevoParen's Hunter or something like that. If there's user groups that aren't represented, then you would know that from analyzing your initial survey results.

Stephanie Weber

Yeah, I can also see if we're not getting clarity on certain subjects being able to kind of refine that and go back at it, potentially having merit. There's also, know, I also worry about, survey fatigue, just like stakeholder meeting fatigue or coalition fatigue. We can go back out and keep asking, and the same people keep responding. So we got to figure out how we get broader input if we do take that tack of going back out eventually. So, but I think that's, I think that's worthy of, of further discussion and thought. Elli, what I'm hearing is we can probably, probably close the door on survey 1.0 and start analyzing and reporting back to the stakeholders.

Elli Morris

Okay, that's great. That's really good to get an answer during the meeting.

Stephanie Weber

We appreciate that.

Elli Morris

We have a few other things to cover and then we want to make sure we have time left for y'all to ask just general questions. So we'll move on. The next thing that we are looking at is a proposed advisory committee. We want to make sure that all five of the user groups are represented in some fashion in this advisory group committee. We're looking thinking about maybe seven or eight people being in the committee. They will be more committed. They'll have every other month meeting and they will really help steer and guide the process of what the stakeholders will then be offered to act on. We also want to make sure, obviously, that all the in teacher's groups are represented, we can't have one from everybody since we were going to have this be a smaller group, but we want to make sure that in some way or another, they know that they have their voice represented in the advisory committee. then we have the geographic representation and the government agencies. We also would want to make sure are in there. So, think about who you would like to nominate, including yourself if you're interested. If we have any volunteers. At the moment, we have two people that have said they would like to participate in the advisory committee. That's Mary, who's on our call. Thank you. And I don't see the list up of who's here. So I'm not sure if Ken is or not, but he's specifically emailed and said he would like to be part of the advisory committee. So we're looking for another five or six people to participate in this group. Do we have some nominations.

Stephanie Weber

And again, I just want to reiterate, you know, this group, this group. Thank Thank Would help steer the larger stakeholder committee, right?

So the idea is it's a subset of folks who are on our list of some 60 different representative groups and again, checking as many boxes as we can on Elli's previous slide, the idea being the advisory committee meets every other month. And the stakeholder committee meets quarterly. We are really doing our darndest, not to not to over commit everybody over meeting everybody, but you know there's there is work to be done in compiling everything that is currently happening and then ultimately figuring out what are the priorities of this round table moving forward. So. And yeah, Elli Ken is not on today, but I know Brian's Brian's today.

Elli Morris

Good notes and yes, actually that you can did write me and tell me he wasn't going to be able to be here the Brian be here for him.

And that's when you said he wanted to be member. So thank you for that reminder. All right. Well, um, okay. Yes, go ahead, Bob.

Bob Milford

Yeah, I would like to be on it. If Park doesn't get approved as a separate regional partnership, if they are, I'll be way too busy with that, but if not, and if all have an opening, I'll certainly be open to that.

Stephanie Weber

Okay, great.

Elli Morris

Thank you very much for stepping up and offering that. And we'll send out an email as a follow up with this meeting and be able to do a little bit more calling on the other group members that we're not here today to see who all can be available to be the advisory committee and have a say in score.

All right. We also need to get some governance process. documents made for score. The good news is we don't have to do this from scratch.

Numerous other RPI's have really beautiful documents that we have they have offered us and said use however you would want to and some of them are so well-written we would really just kind of be able to go in and do some very modern editing and change the name and change some of the locations.

For me as a facilitator and coming into this group fairly new it's been wonderful the collaboration that exists between all the RPI's and this the governance documents are really a good example of that.

So I have compiled a group of the different ones that we could work together with and kind of edit and make those score instead of the other groups.

I need about three or four people to sign up to help me do that process because this ultimately is y'all's group that's going to go move forward with it.

And we would be able to report back with those governance documents by the end of July, which would be our next quarterly meeting.

So we are again calling on some people that would be able to volunteer to help for that particular job.

Stephanie Weber

The floodgates are open.

Elli Morris

I know you guys have a nice person. I'm easy to work with. I had pages already pulled together for you.

So, okay, we'll put that in a certain email that goes out to to see who might be able to help with that one.

We'll move on and Stephanie is going to give us a quick update on the state activities.

Stephanie Weber

Yeah, and we're you guys. I appreciate you hanging in there. I think we'll get you out before two 30, but we definitely needed more than an hour.

I'm. Let's see, I know Michelle was up in Breckenridge. Partners in the Outdoors was a couple of weeks ago. that is a Colorado Parks and Wildlife sponsored conference. There was a lot of information. We started out with a regional partnership summit on Monday, got to hang out with all of other state leaders.

It was positive SCORP, which is the, yeah, Elli, comprehensive outdoor recreation plan. It is entering its final stretch. The plan is that it is adopted later this year.

And so really our efforts to have input in SCORP really, you know, it's not going to materialize. is this year. But obviously, as we continue to mature as a coalition, the opportunities to provide input into future iterations will be available to us.

And then the Colorado outdoor strategy, that is currently underway. We do have the ability to provide a regional voice on what is important to us as that gets further developed.

And, you know, again, part of the rationale in creating these localized coalitions or roundtables is so that not only do we have two-way communication with land managers in our region, but also we have voice to those who are making decisions up in Denver.

And so these are these are two instruments that are ongoing. They're updated regularly, and, you know, while one is being buttoned up, the other one is still very much in process, and this is where we can have a collective voice and what's important, so.

Mary puts on the chat, oh, okay, but the link.

Elli Morris

Thank you. Awesome. Thank you. I forgot. Yeah, those are active links, but only on my slide. Thank you so much, Mary.

All right, so we have some next steps. We've already discussed the survey analysis. will begin to do that, since we now know the survey will be closed.

As we mentioned, the inventory list needs to be ongoing, if y'all that will have that link again. It's up in the chat earlier, if you want to throw that in there again, Stephanie will put it in an email for future.

And then we need some input for now. on our future stakeholder meetings, and one of those is, oops, I forgot to remove that slide.

Here's a little bit better graphic of how we're going to take all this information that's coming in and be able to get it into some cohesive data going out.

And we would like to know we have a time set aside for questions and answers, but we also have a poll for you about upcoming meetings.

Stephanie has a poll on what kind of meeting we want to, we didn't be able to get that done because we had some technical problems.

And so we can just do a raise of hands. We can do that.

Stephanie Weber

We can do a raise of hands. Okay. Yeah, so right now, this is our second meeting. Again, we've talked about these being held quarterly.

What happens with our friends over in Artaletta and other counties to the east is TBD. for sure. Certainly virtual meetings with the geographic scope that we currently are wrestling with makes it easier on a number of people.

We also know that in-person meetings tend to be more engaging. And so if you are still with us, I would love a reaction via chat or through your raised hands.

How many of you would prefer in-person meetings? How many would prefer to remain virtual or have a hybrid option?

So those are the three choices on this multiple choice. In-person, hybrid, or- can't count that person. Okay, and okay, so- put it in the chat-

Yeah, put it in the chat. Hybrid's winning in case you can't see it. Virtual, virtual. Yeah, because since I'm sharing my screen, I can't see all of the rest of it.

Yeah. Okay.

Elli Morris

awesome.

Stephanie Weber

Low votes in person. Okay. Oh, I like Pat. Pat's thrown in another. Yes, I think that is totally doable, Pat.

Elli Morris

So that would be once a year if we did. So if we have, we have four meetings a year and we could have three of them hybrid or virtual and then one of them would be in person.
Be nice to have at least one in person.

Stephanie Weber

All right. Is everybody who wants to vote voted? Go in once, go in twice.

Elli Morris

Pat looks like you got the winning option. All the time.

Stephanie Weber

That does not surprise me.

Elli Morris

Okay. One thing we're also going to send out is a poll to see a doodle poll to see when we want to have the recurring meetings.

We would like to have them set so that it's the same day at the same part of the end of the month at the same time.

And we will send out a doodle poll to everybody to make sure that you have a say on what time that would best suit you.

So look for that in a future email also.

Stephanie Weber

And yeah Pat you're running the the funding games for our in-person meeting.

Elli Morris

All right. So we've had quite a bit of time for people to have some say I mean have some yeah say and some input on what they want to share.

We have some more time for that. So does anybody have general questions? General? feedback, um, whatever y'all would like to do, can keep voting for Pat and Mary to help us get through everything.

Okay, Bob, what is your question or comment?

Bob Milford

I have no question. Just, uh, no, it scores a separate five or one three C or just part of a 103 San Juan Mountain Association.

Stephanie Weber

It's being facilitated by SJMA right now. Um, yeah, the whole issue of does it become a standalone, does it not? Um, that's something other RPI's will wrestle with. So if and when you are awarded funding, you'll get to, you'll get to see all of the givations on that question, Bob.

Because I'm thinking about just keeping, uh, one organization separate bank account and see how simple we can make it, we'll find out.

Yeah, yeah, exactly. So I know there have been a couple people, couple partnerships that have looked, looked, to launching a separate entity and then been like, no, you know, so it's not a clear-cut answer.

And right now, just based on all the non-profits in this region, I think our preference would be not to, but yeah, TBD, we're babies.

Elli Morris

Okay, so Mary put in the chat, I was wondering if we will discuss other issues as they came up, come up and she put a link in there to Senator Bennett's page about introduction of the, introduction of the legislation to invest in outdoor recreation and boost rural economies.

Stephanie Weber

Oh, Mary, that's awesome. Yeah, I mean, yeah, again, we're just the baby regional partnership and trying to get our ducks in.

I mean, yes, the ultimate goal is, and I think that we can kind of begin this now as we have a distribution list and things like that, but sharing information like legislation moving through Congress is very much what we hope can be shared through this roundtable.

It is meant to be a conduit for information. So, do we have a, you know, perfect channel of communication right now?

No, not even close, but I think, you know, sharing information that is coming through the various stakeholders, you know, channels and things like that is definitely something we want to do and perfect as opposed to flail, so do you want to add anything to that?

I mean, you know, again, back to Bob's question, is this going to be a 501c3? I don't see this being an advocacy group.

I see this as being a, you know, a group where information is shared. But we're all stakeholders and we can have that discussion perhaps later down the road.

Elli Morris

All right, so does anyone have any other comments, questions? We hope that we've gotten the information to you in a way that you can figure out what we've been up to.

And we hope that you will be involved going forward so that the information that we have can be moved forward.

Y'all take a vested interest in it so that you can help guide it because it is your or a round table.

So we want to know whatever information that is important to you is being shared and produced.

Stephanie Weber

All right, anything else? All right, more to come reach out to either Elli or me if you have questions, comments, etc. And thank you everybody for being with us today.

Elli Morris

Yeah, thank you so much. We really appreciate it.

Bob Milford

Yeah.

Elli Morris

Bye. Thanks,